DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Environmental Assessment

Permitting and Compliance Division Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: Barretts Minerals Dillon Plant

Type of Project: Talc milling and

processing

Location of Project: 8625 Highway 91 South

City/Town: Dillon County: Beaverhead

Description of Project: The Barretts Minerals, Inc. Dillon Plant is a talc milling and benefication facility, which acquires its ore from two local talc mines. Talc ore is processed at the plant into powder, cakes and pellets via multiple types of crushing washing and purifying methods. In addition to the MGWPCS permit number MTX000094 BMI Dillon Plant facility maintains Montana Air Quality Permit #1995-14, Montana Air Quality Operating Permit #OP1995-04 and Operating Permit number 00009. This checklist Environmental Assessment is specifically targeted at potential water quality impacts due to discharge under MGWPCS permit number MTX000094 and is tiered to Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) analysis and disclosure conducted during permitting and subsequent amendments to the mill's operating permit for the mill at large. Previous MEPA analyses completed for this facility that this analysis is tiered to include:

- Amendment 001 October 25, 1990 Modification to tailings disposal and processing. Add 7.25 acres for dry waste disposal. EA issued on April 20, 1990.
- Amendment 002 December 14, 1993 Dry tailings disposal site, Boka pit. Pit was reclaimed and bond released. EA issued on June 10, 1993.
- Amendment 003 January 21, 2000 Expand the dry waste disposal areas. A checklist EA was prepared on September 25, 1996. Minor Revision 00-001 February 2, 2000 Approved mining of gravel from unmined dry waste disposal area. Internal checklist EA completed on February 2, 2000.
- Amendment 004 October 1, 2001 Expansion of wet tailings and dry waste rock disposal operations.
 New bond set at \$209,100..

Agency Action and Applicable Regulations: The proposed action is renewal of individual Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System (MGWPCS) permit authorizing discharge of boiler blow-down water, miscellaneous laboratory wastewater and reverse osmosis water treatment back flush wastewater. All discharge authorized by this permit percolates to ground water from existing Outfall 001, known as the Boiler Pond. Renewal of MGWPCS number MTX000094, has been drafted pursuant to the Montana Water Quality Act, Title 75, Chapter 5, Montana Code Annotated; and, Administrative Rules of Montana 17.30.501 *et seq.*, 17.30.601 *et seq.*, 17.30.701 *et seq.*, and 17.30.1001 *et seq.*.

Summary of Issues: The existing MGWPCS permit number MGWPCS0094 authorizes discharge of boiler blow-down and miscellaneous laboratory type wastewaters. The Boiler Water System has been upgraded since the permit was issued on September 9, 1996. The upgraded boiler water system also includes discharge of reverse osmosis water treatment back

flush wastewater and more recycling of Boiler Water System to other process circuits within the mill. Accordingly, the volume of water discharged to Outfall 001 is expected to decrease and the composition may change because a greater proportion of water discharged to Outfall 001 is from sources other than the boiler blow-down.

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts).

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND		
	MITIGATION MEASURES		
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY,	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001 - 004 for		
compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic features? Are there special	additional analysis more directly related to this resource.		
reclamation considerations?			
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND	[N] The proposed numeric limits in the permit are based on the		
DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or	interpretation of narrative water quality standards for most sensitive		
groundwater resources present? Is there potential	beneficial uses. The limits are ground water compliance limits set at		
for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or	the end on the mixing zone. The proposed permit also requires		
degradation of water quality?	effluent monitoring for flow, metals and VOCs to identify the		
degradation of water quality.	presence of potential parameters of concern from the RO back flush		
	and laboratory wastewaters and better quantify potential parameter of		
	concern loading rates. The permit also requires a ground water		
	monitoring study to better define site hydrogeology. Discharge and		
	monitoring in compliance with the limitations and requirements in the permit is not expected to cause a significant impact to water		
	quality.		
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate	N The facility currently maintains and is compliant Montana Air		
be produced? Is the project influenced by air	Quality Permit # 1995-14. No impacts will likely occur due to		
quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	renewal of the MGWPCS permit and discharge of wastewater as		
	authorized by the permit.		
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
Are any federally listed threatened or endangered	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species of special concern?			
7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
paleontological resources present?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
8. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
topographic feature? Will it be visible from	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
noise or light? 9. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR	[14] 140 mipacis will likely occur due to reliewal of the MOWPCS		

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded powerline or other energy source be needed)	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are there	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001 - 004 for additional analysis more directly related to this resource.		

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND		
RESCURCE	MITIGATION MEASURES		
11 THE WANT HE ALTER AND GAPPEN WITH			
11. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
this project add to health and safety risks in the area?	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
12. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter these activities?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
13. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move			
or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
14. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
15. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
existing roads? Will other services (fire	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
16. LOCALLY ADOPTED	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM,	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in	WILF A analyses for Operating Fernit Amendments 601		
effect?			
17. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is			
there recreational potential within the tract?			
18. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
project add to the population and require	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
additional housing?			
19. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
Is some disruption of native or traditional	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
lifestyles or communities possible?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
20. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
some unique quality of the area?	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
21. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N] No impacts will likely occur due to renewal of the MGWPCS		
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:	permit and discharge of wastewater as authorized by the permit. See		
	MEPA analyses for Operating Permit Amendments 001		
22(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are	[N]		
we regulating the use of private property under			
a regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the			
police power of the state? (Property			
management, grants of financial assistance, and the exercise of the power of eminent domain			
are not within this category.) If not, no further			
analysis is required.			
anarysis is required.			

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND	
	MITIGATION MEASURES	
22(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is	[]	
the agency proposing to deny the application or		
condition the approval in a way that restricts		
the use of the regulated person's private		
property? If not, no further analysis is		
required.		
22(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If		
the answer to 21(b) is affirmative, does the		
agency have legal discretion to impose or not		
impose the proposed restriction or discretion as		
to how the restriction will be imposed? If not,		
no further analysis is required. If so, the		
agency must determine if there are alternatives		
that would reduce, minimize or eliminate the		
restriction on the use of private property, and		
analyze such alternatives. The agency must		
disclose the potential costs of identified		
restrictions.		

23. Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered:

- A. No Action: The no action alternative is to not renew the expired permit such that it would remain administratively extended with existing permit limits and monitoring for Outfall 001. The existing permit does not include the monitoring specifically designed to detect potential parameters of concern from the added RO back flush water. Therefore, the no action alternative may result in discharge of pollutants of concern at concentrations in excess of the applicable standards that previously did not exist in the effluent.
- B. Renewal and Modification Permit Action: The permit renewal and modification action regulates discharge from additional sources from the RO back flush system to the Boiler Pond/Outfall 001. Compliance limits are based on the most stringent applicable water quality standards. Also a ground water study and ambient monitoring is required as well as flow monitoring, to better define the effluent quality and quantity, loading rate, transport, fate and effect.
- 24. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts: This EA finds no significant impacts are likely to occur due to renewal of the proposed MGWPCS permit and discharge in accordance with the permit.
- 25. Cumulative Effects: The mill facility also operates an 8.56 acre-feet wet tailing pond/water reclaim facility with an estimated capacity of 40 acre-feet. The tailing pond facility is hydrogeologically down gradient of the boiler pond/Outfall 001 and is not lined. The tailing facility discharges to ground water are regulated and monitored under the facilities Operating Permit. The MGWPCS permit requires a hydrogeologic characterization study to evaluate potential cumulative impacts from the Boiler Pond/Outfall 001 and the tailing facility discharges.

26.	Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale: Issue renewed and modified MGWPCS permit number MTX000094 for the Dillon Plant facility to Barretts Minerals, Inc.					
Recon	Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:					
[]] EIS [] More Detailed EA [X] No F	urther Analysis				
	nale for Recommendation: Finding of no sign this document.	ificant impacts documented at number 24 and				
27.	Public Involvement: This permit and EA are being posted for public comment on June 18, 2007. The comment period will close July 17, 2007.					
28.	Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of this analysis: DEQ Environmental Management Bureau Hard Rock Mining Section and DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau were consulted during this EA analysis.					
EA Cl	hecklist Prepared By:					
P. Sku	ubinna	Date				
Appro	oved By:					
	ie Lovelace, Chief r Protection Bureau	Date				